ADVANCE SHEET

The Dauphin County Reporter

(USPS 810-200)

A WEEKLY JOURNAL CONTAINING THE DECISIONS RENDERED IN THE 12TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

No. 6189 Vol. 126 March 1, 2019 No. 192

Entered as Second Class Matter, February 16, 1898, at the Post Office at Harrisburg, PA., under the Act of Congress of March 31, 1879.

TERMS: Advertisements must be received before 12 o'clock noon on Tuesday of each week at the office of the Dauphin County Reporter, 213 North Front Street, Harrisburg, PA 17101: Telephone: (717) 232-7536, option #4.

S.R.G. v. D.D.G. Bar Association Page 305 Back Pages



THE

DAUPHIN COUNTY REPORTER Edited and published by the DAUPHIN COUNTY BAR ASSOCIATION 213 North Front Street Harrisburg, PA 17101 (717) 232-7536

PATRICE MERZANIS Executive Director KENDRA HEINBAUGH Administrative Assistant/Office Manager BRIDGETTE L. HILBISH Dauphin County Reporter/ Legal Education Coordinator

Printed by: K-PRESS P.O. Box 1626, York, PA 17405

THE DAUPHIN COUNTY REPORTER (USPS 810-200) is published weekly by the Dauphin County Bar Association, 213 North Front Street, Harrisburg, PA 17101. Periodical postage paid at Harrisburg, PA. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE DAUPHIN COUNTY REPORT-ER, 213 North Front Street, Harrisburg, PA 17101.

TERMS: Advertisements must be received before 12 o'clock noon on Tuesday of each week at the office of the Dauphin County Reporter, 213 North Front Street, Harrisburg, PA 17101; Telephone: (717) 232-7536, opt #4; Email: <u>Bridgette@dcbapa.org</u>

Estate Notices

DECEDENTS ESTATES

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that letters testamentary or of administration have been granted in the following estates. All persons indebted to the estate are required to make payment, and those having claims or demands to present the same without delay to the administrators or executors or their attorneys named below.

FIRST PUBLICATION

ESTATE OF RICHARD A. SIEGEL, late of Dauphin County, Harrisburg, PA (died: December 8, 2018). Administrator: JoAnn Ellenberger, c/o Allen Weinstock, 4100 Crooked Hill Road, Harrisburg, PA 17110, 717-238-0044. m1-15

ESTATE OF JULENE R. JAROSKY, late of City of Harrisburg, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania (died: November 25, 2018). Administrator: Jeremy R. Jarosky, 222 Barbados Drive, Jupiter, FL 33458. Attorney: Brian J. Hinkle, Mette, Evans & Woodside, 3401 N. Front Street, Harrisburg, PA 17110, (717) 232-5000. m1-15

ESTATE OF HELEN I. BENDIGO, late of Rush Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania (died: January 14, 2019). Executor: Paul L. Bendigo, 250 Dickinson Drive, Reading, PA 19605. Gregory M. Kerwin, Esquire, 4245 State Route 209, Elizabethville, PA 17023. m1-15

ESTATE OF MARY JANE MURO, late of the City of Harrisburg, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania (died: October 12, 2018). Executor: Mr. David P. Muro, 301 Woods Lake Drive, Cocca, FL 32926; Attorney: Gary L. Rothschild, Esq., 2215 Forest Hills Drive, Suite 35, Harrisburg, PA 17112.

m1-15

ESTATE OF ETHEL I. STUMPF a/k/a ETH-EL IRENE STUMPF a/k/a ETHEL SNYDER STUMPF, late of Derry Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania (died: January 25, 2019). Executor: Cheryl L. Stumpf Hawbecker, c/o Goudsouzian & Associates, 2940 William Penn Hwy, Easton Pa 18045-5227. Attorney: Steven N. Goudsouzian, Goudsouzian & Associates, 2940 William Penn Hwy, Easton Pa 18045-5227.

m1-15

ESTATE OF VIRGINIA F. RAGOT a/k/a VIRGINIA FRANCES RAGOT, late of the Borough of Middletown, Dauphin, County. Pennsylvania. Executrix: Theresa L. Shade Wix, Esq., Wix, Wenger & Weidner, 4705 Duke Street, Harrisburg, PA 17109-3041. m1-15

ESTATE OF RICHARD W. MOORE, late of Middletown Borough, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania. Administratrix: Anna Marie Sossong, Attorney: Rosemarie Gavin-Casner, Johnson, Duffie, Stewart & Weidner, 301 Market Street, P.O. Box 109, Lemoyne, PA 17043. m1-15

ESTATE OF VIRGINIA A. SUHRING, late of Hershey, Derry Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania, (died: January 14, 2019). Executor: Scott W. Suhring 1852 Hunter Dr. Mechanicsburg, PA 17050. Attorney: A. Mark Winter, Esq., 310 W. Chocolate Ave, Hershey, PA 17033. Phone: (717) 533-4868. m1-15

ORDER

AND NOW, this <u>5th</u> day of December 2018, this Court hereby finds, upon clear and convincing evidence, that the Power of Attorney executed by Helen Auringer on February 3, 2016 is invalid for the reasons set forth above. This court further grants, under separate Decree, the petition filed by John Peterson seeking a finding that Helen Auringer is incapacitated and further appoints John Peterson as plenary guardian of her estate and person.

S.R.G. v. D.D.G.

Domestic Relations - Child Support - Grandparent Obligation - Paternity by Estoppel

Plaintiff maternal grandmother appealed the court's decision finding that Defendant maternal grandfather did not owe her child support for their minor grandson.

1. Parents are liable for the support of their children who are unemancipated and 18 years of age or younger. 23 Pa.C.S.A. § 4321(2).

2. The principal goal in child support matters is to serve the best interests of the child through provision of reasonable expenses. *Oeler by Gross v. Oeler*, 527 Pa. 532, 594 A.2d 649, 651 (1991).

3. In deciding whether a nonparent owes support, courts have looked to whether a nonparent has taken affirmative steps to act as a legal parent so that he or she should be treated as a legal parent. *A.S. v. I.S.*, A.3d 763, 769 (Pa. 2015). The doctrine of paternity by estoppel provides that where a party assertively holds himself out as a child's father, that party may be estopped from subsequently denying this status. *A.S.* at 763.

4. The grandparents in this case are fundamentally acting as grandparents, not as parents, and have never sought to usurp the parental rights of mother and father. Our appellate courts would not find that a grandparent or grandparents stepping into a parental void such as existed in this case and taking on such a responsibility would be liable for paying child support for their grandchild.

Pa.R.A.P. 1925(a) Opinion. C.P., Dau. Co., No. 0267 DR 2018, PACSES No. 681116950

Debra R. Mehaffie, for the Plaintiff

Jeanné B. Costopoulos, for the Defendant

Turgeon, J., February 15, 2019.

OPINION

The issue before the court is whether maternal grandfather owes child support to maternal grandmother for support of their minor grandson. The grandparents, who are separated, share legal and physical custody of their grandson and have raised him since he was three months old. Neither biological parent has legal custody and they exercise little to no physical custody. I previously issued an order January 15, 2019 finding grandfather does not owe child support. Maternal grandmother has since filed an appeal from that decision. This opinion is offered in support of that order, pursuant to Pa.R.A.P. 1925(a).

Background

The child subject to this action, R.A.G. ("grandson"), was born in June 2006. His biological mother (C.E.G.) and biological father (R.G.) were never married. Maternal grandmother (S.R.G.) and grandfather (D.D.G.) began providing full time care for grandson in September 2006 and have been his primary caretakers to date, including after they separated in September 2013. Mother suffers from mental health problems including bipolar disease and has received in-patient care in the past. According to grandparents, she is not capable of raising the child although, as revealed below, mother resided in grandparents' home with grandson on a few occasions over the years. Father has never been involved in grandson's life and is currently serving a prison term of five to ten years following a 2013 conviction.¹ Neither parent pays grandparents any child support for grandson. According to grandmother, years ago mother filed a child support complaint in Lebanon County against father, but the docket number is unknown and it is believed that any support order issued is a "non-financial order" due to father's incarceration. Grandmother claims she attempted to modify that order March 6, 2018 but the Lebanon County Domestic Relations Office would not accept her filing.

Grandparents became grandson's de facto custodians after taking over his care in September 2006. In April 2008, they filed a custody action in Dauphin County.² At the time, mother and grandson were living with grandparents in their Harrisburg home and father was living at a rescue mission in Lebanon Pa. In June 2008, the parties entered an agreed custody order under which terms grandparents formally obtained legal custody and primary physical custody of grandson. Mother and father were granted visitation rights as agreed by grandparents.

In November 2008, grandmother commenced employment in Washington D.C. with the U.S. Department of the Army and began to reside weekdays in Virginia, returning to Harrisburg most weekends. In February 2012, grandfather filed for divorce.³ In July 2012, grandmother filed a petition to modify custody and sought an expedited relocation hearing because she intended to start a new position with the Army in Florida. She sought court approval for her relocation and primary physical custody of her grandson. Mother, then living in South Carolina, filed a counter-affidavit stating she did not object to grandmother's proposed relocation. Father was still living in Lebanon and did not respond to the proposed relocation. Grandfather opposed relocation and sought primary physical custody on grounds he had been grandson's primary caretaker since grandmother began working in Washington D.C. At that time (in 2012), mother and father had exercised minimal to no physical custody for years.

Grandmother moved to Florida in July 2012. In November 2012, grandparents and parents signed a stipulated custody order under which grandparents maintained joint legal custody and grandparents agreed to jointly home-school grandson. Grandfather obtained the majority of physical custody and grandmother five overnights per month in Florida, plus federal holidays, approximately twelve weeks in the summer and other times upon her election when she visited Pennsylvania or stayed at a

¹ <u>Commonwealth v. R.G.</u>, CP-38-CR-000459-2013. Father pled guilty to two counts of aggravated indecent assault (complainant less than 13 years of age), one count of indecent assault (complainant less than 13 years of age) and one count of corruption of minors.

² D.G. and S.G. v. C.E.G. and R.G., No. 2008-CV-4259-CU (Dauph. Co.).

³ D.G. v. S.G., No. 2012-CV-0971-DV (Dauph. Co.). As of this date, the divorce action is still active.

timeshare property she owned with grandfather. The order also included a provision that grandmother's physical custodial time could be increased as grandson became more comfortable staying with her in Florida. Mother and father had a right to visitation upon request as agreed by grandparents.⁴

In February 2013, grandfather filed a petition seeking primary physical custody of grandson claiming grandmother was unilaterally keeping him beyond the time periods in their custody agreement. The parties attended a custody conference in June and were unable to resolve their dispute. At that time, mother was back living with grandfather in his Harrisburg home and father was in the Lebanon County Prison. Following a pretrial conference, I issued an interim custody order granting physical custody to grandfather from September through November 2013 and encouraged the grandparents to consider enrolling grandson, then seven years old, in a traditional classroom. I granted grandmother physical custody initially for the month of December 2013, later extended through May 2014. A final custody hearing was continued until May 2014 upon the grandparents' request. In the meantime, grandmother sought, and I granted her the right to enroll grandson in a Florida school.

At a May 2014 custody hearing, the parties reached an agreed order (formally entered into the record September 24, 2014) under which terms grandmother obtained sole legal and primary physical custody of grandson. She was also granted the right to relocate to Florida. Grandfather's partial physical custody consisted of summers, spring break and a two-week period in the fall, as well as limited rights of legal custody during his periods of physical custody. Mother and father were again granted visitation rights as agreed by grandparents. At the time, mother was living Texas and father was in state prison.

In August 2015, grandmother filed a petition for special relief seeking that grandfather pay more for transportation costs associated with changes in physical custody. She also sought to decrease grandfather's summer custodial time. At the time, mother was back living with grandfather in Harrisburg. The parties resolved these issues following a custody conference and agreed that the September 24, 2014 order remain in effect.

In May 2016, grandmother filed a special relief petition raising concerns with a summer program in which grandfather intended to enroll grandson inasmuch as she was grandson's sole legal custodian. The parties later entered an agreed order June 14, 2016 providing they would share legal custody and specifying that grandfather had sole decision-making authority on health and education issues (though not religion) during his periods of physical custody. Grandmother continued to exercise primary physical custody of grandson. The order also amended their prior agreement as to costs and logistics of transporting their grandson between them.

On February 21, 2018, grandmother initiated this current action seeking child support from grandfather. The complaint was dismissed by per curiam order "due to the child having biological

⁴ On December 3, 2012, the Hon. Bruce Bratton issued an order stating that the stipulated custody order would not be entertained because of procedural defects and directing the parties to correct them by filing an amended order. No amended order was ever filed. Nevertheless, the parties followed the stipulated custody order as if properly entered.

parents." Grandmother filed a request for de novo review and the matter was scheduled for a hearing before me. Prior to the hearing, counsel for grandparents agreed to submit the legal issues to the court on briefs.

Prior to grandmother's de novo support appeal, grandmother filed a petition in the custody action seeking to have grandfather pay a greater share of transportation costs related to custody exchanges and to curtail mother's visits. At the time, mother was living in Lewistown, Pa. Grandmother additionally pled that father had ceased any involvement in grandson's life. Following a custody conference, grandparents and mother agreed to terms entered as an order September 4, 2018, amending the September 24, 2014 and June 14, 2017 orders. Under this agreed order, father could not have any contact with grandson and grandfather's partial physical custodial time was slightly adjusted.

Legal Discussion

The issue presented on de novo appeal was whether a grandparent owes child support to another grandparent where the grandparents are separated, share legal and physical custody of a grandchild by agreement of the parents, where neither grandparent has adopted the grandchild, and where the child has living biological parents, neither of whom has had their parental rights terminated.

Pennsylvania support law addresses the parties who may be liable to provide child support, as follows:

§ 4321. Liability for support

. . .

(2) Parents are liable for the support of their children who are unemancipated and 18 years of age or younger.

• • •

23 Pa.C.S.A. § 4321. Before addressing the issue of whether a grandparent can be liable for support of their grandchild under this statute, I note the basic legal principles concerning child support, as follows:

The principal goal in child support matters is to serve the best interests of the child through provision of reasonable expenses. <u>Oeler by Gross v. Oeler</u>, 527 Pa. 532, 594 A.2d 649, 651 (1991); <u>Sutliff v. Sutliff</u>, 515 Pa. 393, 528 A.2d 1318, 1322 (1987) (plurality). The duty of child support, "as every other duty encompassed in the role of parenthood, is the equal responsibility of both mother and father." <u>Conway v. Dana</u>, 456 Pa. 536, 318 A.2d 324, 326 (1974). As this duty is "absolute," <u>Larson v. Diveglia</u>, 549 Pa. 118, 700 A.2d 931, 932 (1997), it must be discharged by the parents "even if it causes them some hardship." <u>Sutliff</u>, 528 A.2d at 1322; *see also* 23 Pa.C.S. §4321(2) ("Parents *are liable* for the support of their children who are unemancipated and 18 years of age or younger." (emphasis added)). That said, reality dictates that the parental obligation of support be guided by the parents' respective capacities and abilities, which depend on the parents' property, income, and earning capacity. <u>Costello v. LeNoir</u>, 462 Pa. 36, 337 A.2d 866, 868 (1975); <u>Conway</u>, 318 A.2d at 326.

<u>Yerkes</u>, 824 A.2d 1169, 1171 (Pa. 2003); see also <u>Kimock v. Jones</u>, 47 A.3d 850, 855 (Pa. Super. 2012).

Turning to the principal issue contested, our Supreme Court recently addressed the meaning of "parent" as used Section 4321(2) for the purpose of determining liability for child support, in <u>A.S. v. I.S.</u>, 130 A.3d 763 (Pa. 2015). The issue there was whether a stepparent, who had actively litigated and obtained legal and shared physical custody of the children following marital separation, and who successfully opposed mother's relocation with the children, could be obligated to pay child support, under Section 4321(2). The court initially noted that neither "parent" nor "child" were defined within the support statute and corresponding court rules. <u>Id</u>. at 768. It rejected the parties' suggestions that "parent" be defined as used in other laws relating to parent-child relations (including the Child Protective Services regulations and the Liability for Tortious Acts of Children chapter within the Domestic Relations Code). <u>Id</u>. at 768. Instead, it determined that it would be bound by "the definition of a parent for support purposes as it has developed in our case law." <u>Id</u>.

The court in <u>A.S.</u> reviewed appellate decisions where a biological parent attempted to procure child support from a nonparent. All but one of the cases involved the question of whether a stepfather owed child support to the biological mother for support of his stepchild(ren). <u>Id.</u> at 769-770 (citing <u>Fish v.</u> <u>Behers</u>, 741 A.2d 721 (Pa. 1999); <u>Hamilton v. Hamilton</u>, 795 A.2d 403 (Pa. Super. 2002); <u>DeNomme v.</u> <u>DeNomme</u>, 544 A.2d 63 (Pa. Super. 1988); <u>Commonwealth ex rel. McNutt v. McNutt</u>, 496 A.2d 816 (Pa. Super. 1985); <u>Garman v. Garman</u>, 646 A.2d 1251 (Pa. Super. 1994) and <u>Drawbaugh v. Drawbaugh</u>, 647 A.2d 240 (Pa. Super. 1994)). The remaining case discussed in <u>A.S.</u> involved the question of whether a same-sex partner could be liable for child support following her separation from the biological mother. <u>Id.</u> at 769 (citing <u>L.S.K. v. H.A.N.</u>, 813 A.2d 872 (Pa. Super. 2002)). Absent from review in <u>A.S.</u> was any decision involving a party seeking child support from a grandparent as it appears our appellate courts have never addressed this issue.

As noted in <u>A.S.</u>, the general rule in stepparent cases is that a stepparent who stands *in loco parentis* to the stepchild does not owe a duty of child support on that ground alone but may if he or she has taken "sufficient affirmative steps as a parent to be held liable for support." <u>Id</u>. at 770 (citing <u>McNutt</u> at 817 (holding that *in loco parentis* status alone is insufficient to create a stepparent support obligation); <u>DeNomme</u> at 66 (generally a stepparent does not owe a duty of support to a stepchild); <u>Garman</u> at 1253 (Pa. Super. 1994) (stepfather did not owe a duty of support even though he signed an acknowledgement of paternity, knowing he was not the biological father, where he did not maintain any type of relationship with the child); and <u>Drawbaugh</u> at 242–43 (1994) (stepfather owed no duty of support even where he obtains minimal visitation rights with child)). <u>A.S.</u> noted the following public policy behind these decisions:

If we were to hold that a stepparent acting *in loco parentis* would be held liable for support even after the dissolution of the marriage then all persons who gratuitously assume parental duties for a time could be held legally responsible for child's support. It is not uncommon for a grandparent, an aunt or uncle or an older sibling to assume responsibilities for parenting when the natural parents are absent. These acts of generosity should not be discouraged by creating a law which would require anyone who begins such a relationship to continue financial support until the child is eighteen years old.

A.S. at 770 (citing Drawbaugh at 242 (quoting McNutt at 817)).

As noted in <u>A.S.</u>, however, in deciding whether a nonparent owes support, "courts have looked to whether a nonparent has taken affirmative steps to act as a legal parent so that he or she should be treated as a legal parent." <u>Id</u>. at 769. <u>A.S.</u> thus acknowledged stepparent liability for child support had been found in two cases: <u>Fish v. Behers</u>, 741 A.2d 721 (Pa. 1999) and <u>Hamilton v. Hamilton</u>, 795 A.2d 403 (Pa. Super. 2002). In <u>Fish</u>, our Supreme Court held that a stepfather could be liable for child support under the doctrine of paternity by estoppel where he held the child out as his legal child. <u>A.S.</u> at 769 (citing <u>Fish</u>). The <u>A.S.</u> court stated that "[t]he doctrine of paternity by estoppel provides that where a party assertively holds himself out as a child's father, that party may be estopped from subsequently denying this status. The rationale behind the doctrine is 'achieving fairness as between the parents by holding them, both mother and father, to their prior conduct regarding the paternity of the child' because children should be secure in knowing who are their parents." <u>A.S.</u> at 763 (quoting <u>Fish</u> at 723).

In <u>Hamilton</u>, the Superior Court applied paternity by estoppel in a similar situation. There, stepfather and biological mother met when the child was just three years old, he held the child out as his own during the marriage, signed an acknowledgment of paternity despite knowing he was not the biological father and continued his relationship with the child following separation from mother. <u>A.S.</u> at 769 (citing <u>Hamilton</u> at 407). Stepfather was held to owe support because "he held himself out as the biological father and thus was obligated to continue in the role of the child's father so as to not obscure the child's understanding of who his parents were, in accord with the doctrine of paternity by estoppel." <u>Id</u>.

The <u>A.S.</u> court finally examined <u>L.S.K. v. H.A.N.</u>, the only non-stepparent case holding that a nonparent can be considered a "parent" liable for child support, as follows:

In addition to finding a stepparent liable for child support based on paternity by estoppel, our Superior Court has found a support obligation for an individual who took affirmative steps to act as a parent, under general principles of equity, even where the child would not confuse that individual with a biological parent. L.S.K., 813 A.2d 872. In L.S.K., a woman agreed to create a family with her same-sex partner who became pregnant using artificial insemination and both women acted as mothers to the resulting children. Upon dissolution of the relationship, the non-biological mother obtained custody rights to the children by establishing *in loco parentis* standing but denied that she had a corresponding duty of child support, claiming that only biological parents can owe a duty of support.

The <u>L.S.K.</u> court rejected her argument and found that the non-biological mother's forceful steps to act as a parent estopped her from denying that status. The <u>L.S.K.</u> court recognized two determinative facts motivating its decision: 1) the biological mother went through a significant undertaking to have children relying on the non-biological mother's agreement to start a family together; and 2) the non-biological mother had obtained custodial rights to the children based on *in loco parentis* standing. As to the latter fact, the court stated "equity mandates that [the non-biological mother] cannot maintain the status of *in loco parentis* to pursue an action as to the children, alleging she has acquired rights in relation to them, and at the same time deny any obligation for support merely because there was no agreement to do so." <u>Id</u>. at 878.

A.S. at 769-70.

Following its review of how case law has defined "parent," the <u>A.S.</u> court held that the stepparent in the case before it was a "parent" liable to pay child support, concluding as follows:

Upon consideration of these two lines of cases, we agree and, accordingly, reiterate that *in loco parentis* status alone and/or reasonable acts to maintain a post-separation relationship with stepchildren are insufficient to obligate a stepparent to pay child support for those children.

However, the instant case involves a far greater assumption, indeed, a relentless pursuit, of parental duties than that of a stepparent desiring a continuing relationship with a former spouse's children, as was the case in <u>McNutt</u>, <u>DeNomme</u>, <u>Garman</u>, and <u>Drawbaugh</u>. Here, we have a stepfather who haled [sic] a fit parent into court, repeatedly litigating to achieve the same legal and physical custodial rights as would naturally accrue to any biological parent. This is not the "typical case" of a stepparent who has grown to love his stepchildren and wants to maintain a post-separation relationship with them. Stepfather in the instant case has litigated and obtained full legal and physical custody rights, and has also asserted those parental rights to prevent a competent biological mother from relocating with her children.

Stepfather simply does not fall into the category of a stepparent who desires a continuing post-separation relationship with his stepchildren. Rather, he has insisted upon and became a full parent in every sense of that concept. We find that under these facts, Stepfather has taken sufficient affirmative steps legally to obtain parental rights and should share in parental obligations, such as paying child support. Equity prohibits Stepfather from disavowing his parental status to avoid a support obligation to the children he so vigorously sought to parent.

Id. at 770-71.

Grandmother argues that the definition of "parent" as articulated by the court in <u>A.S.</u> can and should be extended to include those grandparents who take affirmative steps to act as a legal parent and assume all duties of a legal parent. She contends that both grandparents in this case have assumed all parental duties and responsibilities for their grandson for an extended period of time and it is highly probable they will continue to do so until his emancipation. Grandmother claims that, as in <u>A.S.</u>, grandfather has affirmatively, aggressively and relentlessly litigated his custody claims for years including filing emergency petitions and hauling grandmother into court following their separation. Grandmother argues that as such, grandfather is grandson's legal parent and fairness dictates he be held to a duty of support.

While grandmother makes a compelling argument, I believe that <u>A.S.</u> and the cases cited therein, do not extend support liability under the circumstances in this case. First and foremost, this court does not agree that grandparents here have taken affirmative steps to act as "parents" to grandson. Nothing in the record reveals that they have ever been anything other than grandparents to grandson. There is no evidence in the record that grandson is not aware that S.RG. is his grandmother, D.D.G. his grandfather, C.E.G. his mother and R.G. his father.

Furthermore, grandparents here have not relentlessly pursued full parental rights *against* a the parents as was the case in <u>A.S.</u>; instead, they have stepped into a parental void created by mother's inability to parent due to her serious mental health issues and father's initial total indifference to parenting and later inability to parent due to his lengthy incarceration. Both grandparents initially became full time *de facto* custodians of grandson shortly after his birth due to the parents' inability to fulfill their parental roles. Grandparents continued to act as grandson's *de facto* custodians for the next nineteen months before they

formally initiated a custody action. Though the record supplied by the parties does not directly address why grandparents sought formal custody rights when they did, such would have become necessary at some point in order for them to legally act on grandson's behalf (for instance, to obtain medical care for him and to enroll him in school). What is clear, however, is that grandparents never sought court-ordered custody in an effort to assert custodial rights as against either or both parents. They never affirmatively, assertively, aggressively or otherwise sought out a parental role. Instead, they gratuitously and generously filled the parental void. This is a fundamental distinction between this situation and that in <u>A.S.</u> The Supreme Court in <u>A.S.</u> went out of its way to stress the somewhat litigiously aggressive posture by stepfather in "relentless pursuit" of full parental rights "at the cost of interfering with the rights of a fit parent." <u>Id</u>. at 770-771. The grandparents in this case are fundamentally acting as grandparents, not as parents, and have never sought to usurp the parental rights of mother and father.⁵ This court does not believe that our appellate courts would find that a grandparent or grandparents stepping into a parental void such as existed in this case and taking on such a responsibility would be liable for paying child support for their grandchild.

Accordingly, I issued my order January 15, 2019, finding grandfather was not obligated to pay support to grandmother since he is not a "parent" liable for child support under the law.

⁵ Unfortunately, today many grandparents are being tasked with raising their grandchildren due to the inability of their grandchildren's parents to fulfill their role, often due to drug addiction, mental health disabilities and incarceration. The proportion of children living in "grandfamilies" has doubled in the U.S. 1970 and has 7 between 2014 2018. since gone up percent and https://www.theatlantic.com/family/archive/

^{2018/06/}this-is-the-age-of-grandparents/561527/ (visited 2/14/19).

Estate Notices

ESTATE OF DON W. KLINGER, late of Upper Paxton Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania. Executrix: Marcia S. Klinger, 398 Schoolhouse Lane, Millersburg, PA 17061. Attorney: Earl Richard Etzweiler, Esquire, 105 N. Front Street, Harrisburg, PA 17101, (717) 234-5600. m1-15

ESTATE OF DANIEL E. WEBSTER, late of Lower Swatara Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania, (died: November 21, 2014). Executor: Marion L. Webster, c/o Pannebaker & Mohr, P.C., 4000 Vine St, Suite 101, Middletown, PA 17057 or to Attorney: Kendra A. Mohr, Esq., Pannebaker & Mohr, P.C., 4000 Vine St, Suite 101, Middletown, PA 17057. m1-15

ESTATE OF LAWRENCE SILVER, late of Susquehanna Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania, (died: December 31, 2018). Executrix: Roberta L. Silver; Attorney: Steven P. Miner, Esquire, Daley Zucker Meilton & Miner, LLC, 635 N. 12th Street, Suite 101, Lemoyne, PA 17043. m1-15

SECOND PUBLICATION

Estate Notices

ESTATE OF ANDREW N. LICK a/k/a AN-DREW NOYE LICK a/k/a ANDY NOYE LICK, late of Susquehanna Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania, (died: January 22, 2019). Executor: Debra J. Lick, c/o Pannebaker & Mohr, P.C., 4000 Vine St, Suite 101, Middletown, PA 17057 or to Attorney: Kendra A. Mohr, Esq., Pannebaker & Mohr, P.C., 4000 Vine St, Suite 101, Middletown, PA 17057. f22-m8

ESTATE OF EDITH G. STEWART, late of Susquehanna Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania, (died: January 14, 2019). Executrix: Carolyn McNeil, c/o Hazen Law Group, 2000 Linglestown Road, Suite 202, Harrisburg, PA 17110 or to Estate of Edith G. Stewart, c/o Hazen Law Group, 2000 Linglestown Road, Suite 202, Harrisburg, PA 17110. f22-m8

ESTATE OF FRANK G. SASA, late of Swatara Township, County of Dauphin, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Executor: Mark G. Sasa. Attorney: Heather D. Royer, Esquire, Smigel, Anderson & Sacks, LLP, 4431 N. Front Street, 3rd Floor, Harrisburg, PA 17110. f22-m8 **ESTATE OF ALFRED E. MILLER** late of West Hanover Township Dauphin County, Pennsylvania. Executor: Larry E. Miller, 1284 Piketown Road, Harrisburg, PA 17112. Attorney: Hannah R. Suhr, Esquire, 2011 W. Trindle Road, Carlisle, PA 17013. f22-m8

ESTATE OF DENISE G. BITNER, late of Lower Paxton Township, Dauphin County, Penn-sylvania, (died: July 11, 2018). Executrix: Cindy A. Mauer, 51 Sherwood Circle, Enola, PA 17025. f22-m8

ESTATE OF THOMAS T. GREEN, late of Highspire Borough, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania (died: January 25, 2019). Executor: Deborah L. Miller, c/o Pannebaker & Mohr, P.C., 4000 Vine St, Suite 101, Middletown, PA 17057 or to Attorney: Kendra A. Mohr, Esq., Pannebaker & Mohr, P.C., 4000 Vine St, Suite 101, Middletown, PA 17057. f22-m8

ESTATE OF LEWIS B. GIULIANI, late of Wiconisco Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania (died: January 22, 2019). Executrix: Laurann M. Giuliani, 743 Pottsville Street, P.O. Box 310, Wiconisco, PA 17097. Attorney: Gregory M. Kerwin, Esquire, 4245 State Route 209, Elizabethville, PA 17023. f22-m8

ESTATE OF ALFONSO E. HAWKINS, late of Harrisburg City, Dauphin County, PA (died: December 28, 2018). Executor: Alphonso E. Pinkney, 1843 North Sherman St., York, PA 17406. Attorney: Gregory E. Gettle, Esq., 13 East Market St., York, PA 17401. f22-m8

ESTATE OF HAROLD W. HOST, late of the Township of Williams, County of Dauphin, Pennsylvania (died: January 28, 2019). Executor: James Michael Lawrence, 539 West Fifth Street, Williamstown, Pennsylvania 17098; Attorney: Joseph D. Kerwin, Kerwin & Kerwin, LLP, 4245 State Route 209, Elizabethville, Pennsylvania 17023. f22-m8

THIRD PUBLICATION

Estate Notices

ESTATE OF CHARLES ELWOOD BEARD, JR., late of Middletown, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania (died: January 17, 2019). Executrix: Sharon K. Beard, 104 North Union Street, Middletown, PA 17057. Attorney: John S. Davidson, Esquire, Yost & Davidson, 320 West Chocolate Avenue, P.O. Box 437, Hershey, PA 17033-0437.

f15-m1

THIRD PUBLICATION

Estate Notices

ESTATE OF FRED ARTHUR GUIDER, a/k/a FRED A. GUIDER, late of the City of Harrisburg, County of Dauphin and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Administratrix: Emma J. Guider, 602 North 18th Street, Harrisburg, PA 17103 or to Attorney: James H. Turner, Esquire, TURNER AND O'CONNELL, 915 N. Mountain Road, Suite D, Harrisburg, PA 17112. f15-m1

ESTATE OF FAE L. MORRISON, late of Lower Paxton Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania, (died: December 9, 2018). Executrix: Mindy A. Morrell, of Lutherville, Maryland. Attorney: Jacqueline A. Kelly, Esquire, JSDC Law Offices, 555 Gettysburg Pike, Suite C400, Mechanicsburg, PA 17055, 717-533-3280. f15-m1

ESTATE OF BEVERLY B. MESLOH, late of Lower Paxton Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania, (died: November 14, 2018). Executor: James C. Mesloh, c/o Edward P. Seeber, Esquire, JSDC Law Offices, Suite C-400, 555 Gettysburg Pike, Mechanicsburg, PA 17055, 717-533-3280. f15-m1

ESTATE OF SHIRLEY E. REIGHTLER, late of Rush Township (died: December 28, 2018). Executors: Joel Reightler, 820 Littlestown Road, Littlestown, PA 17340 or Stephen Reightler, 1501 Fulton Road, Dauphin, PA 17018. Attorney: Robert G. Radebach, Esquire, 912 North River Road, Halifax, PA 17032. f15-m1

ESTATE OF JUNG AE YI, late of South Hanover Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania, (died: January 21, 2019). Executor: Kenneth E. Bell E. Bell, c/o Hazen Law Group, 2000 Linglestown Road, Suite 202, Harrisburg, PA 17110 or to Estate of Jung Ae Yi, c/o Hazen Law Group, 2000 Linglestown Road, Suite 202, Harrisburg, PA 17110. f15-m1

ESTATE OF MARIE JEAN KIRKWOOD, late of Derry Township, Dauphin County, PA, (died: January 18, 2019). Executrix: Laurie S. Eberle, Executrix, c/o George W. Porter, Esquire, 909 East Chocolate Avenue, Hershey, Pennsylvania 17033. f15-m1

ESTATE OF DONALD E. WELSH, JR., late of City of Harrisburg, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania. Administrator: Theresa Welsh, 1618 State Street, Harrisburg, PA 17103 or to Attorney: John B. Dougherty, Esquire, 800 North Second Street, Harrisburg, PA 17102. f15-m1 **ESTATE OF MIRIAM A. SHAFFER**, late of Gratz Borough, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania (died: January 17, 2019). Executor: Dennis L. Shaffer, 181 Bellevue Road, Lykens, Pennsylvania 17048; Attorney: Terrence J. Kerwin, Esquire, Kerwin & Kerwin, LLP, 4245 State Route 209, Elizabethville, PA 17023. f15-m1

ESTATE OF RICHARD H. KREIDER a/k/a RICHARD HAROLD KREIDER, late of Conewago Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania (died: January 15, 2019). Personal Representative Timothy J. Kreider, 4169 Roundtop Road Elizabethtown, PA 17022 or to attorney: Jean D. Seibert, Esquire, CALDWELL & KEARNS, PC, 3631 North Front Street, Harrisburg, PA 17110. 115-m1

ESTATE OF ELEANOR D. UGARTE a/k/a ELEANOR DEANE UGARTE, late of Lower Paxton Township, County of Dauphin and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Executrix: Catherine Adriennne Ugarte, 1035 Oak Knoll Drive, Harrisburg, PA 17111 or to Attorney: James H. Turner, Esquire, TURNER AND O'CONNELL, 915 N. Mountain Road, Suite D, Harrisburg, PA 17112. 115-m1

ESTATE OF BARBARA K. HADLEY, late of Middletown Borough, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania (died: September 12, 2018). Co-Executors: Donald E. Hadley and Linda Hadley. Attorney: Bruce J. Warshawsky, Esquire, Cunningham, Chernicoff & Warshawsky, P.C., 2320 North Second Street, Harrisburg, PA 17110. f15-m1

FIRST PUBLICATION

Corporate Notices

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN **ADI** Systems Inc., incorporated in the province of New Brunswick, Canada, registered to do business in Pennsylvania in November 2013. CT Corp, Dauphin County is registered office provider. Name change to ADI Systems North America Inc. was filed in Pennsylvania in October, 2016.

Name change to 631604 N.B. Inc. was filed in July, 2018. The company carried on the business of consulting/designing/building wastewater treatment facilities internationally on a project-byproject basis and registration in the various States was done to carry out a project in each such state. In June, 2018, the company amalgamated with several others in the province of Prince Edward Island, Canada, to form ADI International Inc.,

The company no longer carries on the business described above and thus wishes to withdraw its registrations in the States in which it is registered. It has in fact already done so in a number of States.

Corporate Notices

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a Transfer of Foreign Registration has been filed with the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at Harrisburg, PA on or about January 14, 2019, for a foreign corporation with a registered address in the state of Pennsylvania as follows: **HUFFMASTER CRISIS RESPONSE**, **INC.** c/o Registered Agent Solutions, Inc.

This corporation is incorporated under the laws of Michigan.

The address of its principal office is 1055 W Maple Rd., Clawson, MI 48017.

The corporation has been qualified in Pennsylvania under the provisions of the Business Corporation Law of 1988, as amended. m1

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that **XPO Drayage**, **Inc.**, a foreign business corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware, received a Certificate of Authority/Foreign Registration in Pennsylvania on August 28, 2013, and will surrender its Certificate of Authority/Foreign Registration to do business in Pennsylvania.

Its last registered office in this Commonwealth was located at: c/o Registered Agent Solutions, Inc. and the last registered office shall be deemed for venue and official publication purposes to be located in Dauphin County, Pennsylvania. m1

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that **CenterPoint Energy Mobile Energy Solutions, Inc.**, a foreign corporation formed under the laws of the State of Texas and with its principal office located 1111 Louisiana St, Ste 4600, Houston, TX 77002, has registered to do business in Pennsylvania with the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at Harrisburg, PA, on 2/4/19, under the provisions of the Pennsylvania Business Corporation Law of 1988.

The registered office in Pennsylvania shall be deemed for venue and official publication purposes to be located in Dauphin County. ml

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN Health Advocate West, Inc., a foreign business corporation incorporated under the laws of California, with its princ. office located at 11808 Miracle Hills Dr., Omaha, NE 68154, has applied for a Statement of Registration to do business in Pennsylvania under the provisions of Chapter 4 of the Association Transactions Act. The street address in the association's jurisdiction of formation is 11808 Miracle Hills Dr., Omaha, NE 68154. The commercial registered office provider in PA is c/o: Corporation Service Co., and shall be deemed for venue and official publication purposes to be located in Dauphin County. Date filed: 2/21/19, effective date: 04/01/2019. m1

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN Scholastic Book Clubs, Inc., a foreign business corporation incorporated under the laws of Missouri, with its princ. office located at 2931 E. McCarty St., Jefferson City, MO 65131, has applied for a Statement of Registration to do business in Pennsylvania under the provisions of Chapter 4 of the Association Transactions Act. The commercial registered office provider in PA is c/o: Corporation Service Co., and shall be deemed for venue and official publication purposes to be located in Dauphin County. ml

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that **LodgeLink Inc.**, a foreign corporation formed under the laws of the State of Delaware and with its principal office located Ste 1000, 440 – 2nd Ave, SW, Calgary, AB T2P 5E9, will register to do business in Pennsylvania with the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at Harrisburg, PA, under the provisions of the Pennsylvania Business Corporation Law of 1988.

The registered office in Pennsylvania shall be deemed for venue and official publication purposes to be located in Dauphin County. m1

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that **Welch Allyn**, **Inc.**, a foreign corporation formed under the laws of the State of New York and with its principal office located 4131 State Street Rd, Skaneateles, NY 13153, has registered to do business in Pennsylvania with the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at Harrisburg, PA, on 2/6/19, under the provisions of the Pennsylvania Business Corporation Law of 1988.

The registered office in Pennsylvania shall be deemed for venue and official publication purposes to be located in Dauphin County. m1

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN thyssenkrupp Bilstein of America, Inc., a foreign business corporation incorporated under the laws of Delaware, with its princ. office located at 8685-8695 Berk Blvd., Hamilton, OH 45015, has applied for a Statement of Registration to do business in Pennsylvania under the provisions of Chapter 4 of the Association Transactions Act. The commercial registered office provider in PA is c/o: Corporation Service Co., and shall be deemed for venue and official publication purposes to be located in Dauphin County. m1

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that **Andela**, **Inc.**, a foreign business corporation under the laws of the state of New York where its principal office is located at 129 W 29th Street, 4th Floor, New York, NY 10001 has applied for a Certificate of Authority in Pennsylvania, where its registered office is located at c/o Incorp Services, Inc., Dauphin County. The registered office of the corporation shall be deemed for venue and official publication purposes to be located in Dauphin County, Pennsylvania. m1

Corporate Notices

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a Foreign Registration Statement has been filed with the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at Harrisburg, PA on or about February 14, 2019, for a foreign corporation with a registered address in the state of Pennsylvania as follows: **FIREHEART PRODUCTIONS Inc.** c/ o United Corporate Services, Inc.

This corporation is incorporated under the laws of Delaware.

The address of its principal office is 112 Rose Lane, Havorford, PA 19041.

The corporation has been qualified in Pennsylvania under the provisions of the Business Corporation Law of 1988, as amended. m1

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that **Harmon Steel, Inc.**, a foreign corporation formed under the laws of the State of Indiana and with its principal office located 623 South State St, North Vernon, IN 47265, has registered to do business in Pennsylvania with the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at Harrisburg, PA, on 2/1/19, under the provisions of the Pennsylvania Business Corporation Law of 1988.

The registered office in Pennsylvania shall be deemed for venue and official publication purposes to be located in Dauphin County. m1

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that **Daintree Networks Inc.**, a foreign corporation formed under the laws of the State of Delaware and with its principal office located 3010 Spartanburg Hwy, East Flat Rock, NC 28726 has registered to do business in Pennsylvania with the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at Harrisburg, PA, on 2/7/19, under the provisions of the Pennsylvania Business Corporation Law of 1988.

The registered office in Pennsylvania shall be deemed for venue and official publication purposes to be located in Dauphin County. m1

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that **Operr Ser**vice **Bureau**, **Inc.**, a foreign corporation formed under the laws of the State of New York and with its principal office located at 130-30 31st Avenue, Suite 801, Flushing, NY 10583, has registered to do business in Pennsylvania with the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at Harrisburg, PA, on or about 2/11/19, under the provisions of the Pennsylvania Business Corporation Law of 1988.

The registered office in Pennsylvania shall be deemed for venue and official publication purposes to be located in Dauphin County. m1 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a Foreign Registration Statement has been filed with the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at Harrisburg, PA on or about February 4, 2019, for a foreign corporation with a registered address in the state of Pennsylvania as follows: **CUTS CLOTHING, INC.** c/o Registered Agent Solutions, Inc.

This corporation is incorporated under the laws of Washington.

The address of its principal office is 1410 Westpoint Place, Wenatchee, W A 98801.

The corporation has been qualified in Pennsylvania under the provisions of the Business Corporation Law of 1988, as amended. m1

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that **GENSYM CORPORATION**, a foreign business corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware, received a Certificate of Authority/Foreign Registration in Pennsylvania on August 14, 1992, and will surrender its Certificate of Authority/ Foreign Registration to do business in Pennsylvania.

Its last registered office in this Commonwealth was located at: c/o CAPITOL CORPORATE SERVICES, INC. and the last registered office shall be deemed for venue and official publication purposes to be located in Dauphin County, Pennsylvania. ml

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that **Sierra Trading Post, Inc.**, a foreign corporation formed under the laws of the State of Wyoming and with its principal office located 770 Cochituate Rd, Framingham, MA 01701, has registered to do business in Pennsylvania with the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at Harrisburg, PA, on 2/7/19, under the provisions of the Pennsylvania Business Corporation Law of 1988.

The registered office in Pennsylvania shall be deemed for venue and official publication purposes to be located in Dauphin County. m1

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a Foreign Registration Statement has been filed with the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at Harrisburg, PA on or about December 27,2018, for a foreign corporation with a registered address in the state of Pennsylvania as follows: **Ethos Technologies Inc.** c/o Registered Agent Solutions, Inc.

This corporation is incorporated under the laws of Delaware.

The address of its principal office is 300 Broadway, #28, San Francisco, CA 94133.

The corporation has been qualified in Pennsylvania under the provisions of the Business Corporation Law of 1988, as amended. m1

Corporate Notices

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Articles of Incorporation have been filed with the Department of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on 2/4/2019 under the Domestic Business Corporation Law, for **FARMS2PHARMA INC**, and the name and county of the commercial registered office provider is c/o: Corporation Service Co., Dauphin County. m1

FIRST PUBLICATION

Fictitious Name Notices

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, pursuant to the provisions of the Fictitious Name Act, 54 Pa.C.S. §301, et seq., and its amendments and supplements, of filing with the Secretary of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at Harrisburg, Pennsylvania on the 21st day of December, 2018, an application for conducting business under the assumed or fictitious name of **Scenic Ridge Farm** with its principal place of business located at 200 Picnic Road, Lykens. Pennsylvania, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania 17048.

The names and addresses of all person/entity owning or interested in said business is: Samuel K. Kauffman - 328 Theater Lane, Spring Glen, PA 17978

m1

JOSEPH D. KERWIN, ESQ. KERWIN & KERWIN 4245 State Route 209 Elizabethville, PA 17023 (717) 362-3215

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, pursuant to the provisions of 54 Pa.C.S., that an Application for Registration of Fictitious Name for the conduct of a business in Dauphin County, PA, under the assumed or fictitious name, style or designation of Millenium Hospice was filed in the office of the Secy. of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (PA), Dept. of State, on 1/11/2019. Purpose: home health services and hospice. Principal place of business: 3525 Piedmont Rd., NE Bldg. 8-515, Atlanta, GA 30305. The name and address of the person/entity owning or interested in said business is Five Points Healthcaer of PA, LLC and Robert Radics, (an LLC organized in Delaware), both located at 3525 Piedmont Rd., NE Bldg. 8-515, Atlanta, GA 30305. The PA reg'd office is c/o: Corporation Service Co. m1

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, pursuant to the provisions of 54 Pa.C.S., that an Application for Registration of Fictitious Name for the conduct of a business in Dauphin County, PA, under the assumed or fictitious name, style or designation of RoundPoint was filed in the office of the Secy. of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (PA), Dept. of State, on 2/5/2019. Purpose: servicing and organization of residential mortgage loans. Principal place of business and owner address is: 5016 Parkway Plaza Blvd., Bldgs. 6 & 8, Charlotte, NC 28217. The name and address of the person/entity owning or interested in said business is Round-Point Mortgage Servicing Corporation, (a business corporation organized in Delaware). The PA reg'd office is c/o: Corporation Service Co. m1

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a Registration of Fictitious Name was filed in the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for **Spring & Sprout Support Services LLC** with a principle place of business located at 9400 4th Street North, Suite 200 St. Petersburg FL 33702. The entity interested in such business is DDP DMO Holdings, LLC whose commercial registered office address is c/o National Registered Agents, Inc. in Dauphin County. This is filed in accordance with 54 Pa. C.S. 311. m1

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, pursuant to the provisions of Act of Assembly No. 295, effective March 16, 1983, of intention to file in the office of the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, an application for the conduct of a business in Dauphin County, Pennsylvania under the assumed or fictitious name, style or designation of Name: Paradigm Catastrophic Care Management, with its principal place of business at: 1277 Treat Blvd. Ste 800, Walnut Creek, CA 94597. The names and addresses of all persons or entities owning or interested in said business are: Paradigm Management Services, LLC, 1277 Treat Blvd, Ste 800, Walnut Creek, CA 94597. The application has been filed on 2/21/2019. m1

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that an application for registration of a fictitious name, **Milro Associates**, for the conduct of business in Pennsylvania, with the principal place of business being at 9 W. Broad Street, Suite 310, Stamford, CT 06902, was approved by the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at Harrisburg, Pennsylvania on February 6, 2019, pursuant to the Act of Assembly of December 16, 1982, Act 295.

The name and address of the entity owning or interested in the said business is: Milro Group LLC, 9 W. Broad Street, Suite 310, Stamford, CT 06902. m1

Fictitious Name Notices

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, pursuant to the provisions of Act of Assembly, No. 295, effective March 16, 1983, of the filing in the office of the Department of State, of the Commonwealth of PA, at Harrisburg, PA on 1/18/19, for an Application for the conduct of business in Dauphin County, PA, under the assumed or fictitious name, style or designation of **Gossamer Networks LLC**, with a principal place of business at 5110 Lancaster St., Harrisburg, PA 17111. The name of the entity interested in said business is Active Networks, LLC, 5110 Lancaster St., Harrisburg, PA 17111.

> BARLEY SNYDER, Solicitors 126 E. King St. Lancaster, PA 17602

FIRST PUBLICATION

m1

Miscellaneous Notices

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF DAUPHIN COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

NO. 2017-CV-05757-MF

CIVIL ACTION-LAW

NOTICE OF ACTION IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

CARRINGTON MORTGAGE SERVICES, LLC, PLAINTIFF VS.

WILLIAM E. GEARY, DEFENDANT(S)

Notice of Sheriff's Sale

To: William E. Geary, Defendant(s)

Your house (real estate) at 426 North Front Street, Harrisburg, PA 17113 is scheduled to be sold at Dauphin County Sheriff Sale on 04/11/2019 at 10:00 A.M., in the Dauphin County Administration Building, 4th Floor, Commissioners Hearing Room to enforce the court judgment of \$106,254.94 plus interest to the sale date obtained by Carrington Mortgage Services, LLC against you.

NOTICE OF OWNER'S RIGHTS YOU MAY BE ABLE TO PREVENT THIS SHERIFF'S SALE

To prevent this Sheriff's Sale you must take immediate action:

1. The sale will be canceled if you pay back to Carrington Mortgage Services, LLC, the amount of the judgment plus costs or the back payments, late charges, costs and reasonable attorneys' fees due. To find out how much you must pay, you may call: Richard M. Squire, Esquire/Bradley J. Osborne, Esquire/Sarah McCaffery, Esquire at (215) 886-8790.

2. You may be able to stop the sale by filing a petition asking the Court to strike or open the judgment, if the judgment was improperly entered. You may also ask the Court to postpone the sale for good cause.

3. You may be able to stop the sale through other legal proceedings.

You may need an attorney to assert your rights. The sooner you contact one, the more chance you will have of stopping the sale. (See notice below on how to obtain an attorney.)

YOU MAY STILL BE ABLE TO SAVE YOUR PROPERTY AND YOU HAVE OTHER RIGHTS EVEN IF THE SHERIFF'S SALE DOES TAKE PLACE.

1. If the Sheriff's Sale is not stopped, your property will be sold to the highest bidder. You may find out the price bid by calling the Dauphin Sheriff's Office at (717) 780-6590.

2. You may be able to petition the Court to set aside the sale if the bid price was grossly inadequate compared to the value of your property.

3. The sale will go through only if the buyer pays the Sheriff the full amount due in the sale. To find out if this has happened you may call the Dauphin County Courthouse at (717) 780-6590.

4. If the amount due from the buyer is not paid to the Sheriff, you will, remain the owner of the property as if the sale never happened.

5. You have a right to remain in the property until the full amount due is paid to the Sheriff and the Sheriff gives a deed to the buyer. At that time, the buyer may bring legal proceedings to evict you.

6. You may be entitled to a share of the money which was paid for your house. A schedule of distribution of the money bid for your house will be filed by the Sheriff no later than 30 days after the Sheriff's Sale. This schedule will state who will be receiving the money. The money will be paid out in accordance with this schedule unless exceptions (reasons why the proposed distribution is wrong) are filed with the Sheriff within ten (10) days after the date of filing of said schedule.

7. You may also have other rights and defenses or ways of getting your house back, if you act immediately after the sale.

Miscellaneous Notices

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS NOTICE TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER, GO TO OR TELPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW. THIS OF-FICE CAN PROVIDE YOU WITH INFOR-MATION ABOUT HIRING A LAWYER.

IF YOU CANNOT AFFORD TO HIRE A LAW-YER THIS OFFICE MAY BE ABLE TO PRO-VIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT AGENCIES THAT MAY OFFER LEGAL SER-VICES TO ELIGIBLE PERSONS AT A RE-DUCED FEE OR NO FEE

Lawyer Reference Service Dauphin County Lawyer Referral Service 213 North Front Street Harrisburg, PA 17101 717-232-7536

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF DAUPHIN COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

NO. 2018 CV 7724 QT

CIVIL ACTION - QUIET TITLE

KAREN SNYDER, PLAINTIFF VS. PETER LEE DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, INC., ITS SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS, DEFENDANT

IMPORTANT NOTICE

TO: Peter Lee Development Company, Inc. 501 Keystone Building Harrisburg, PA 17101

DATE OF NOTICE: February 22, 2019

YOU ARE IN DEFAULT BECAUSE YOU HAVE FAILED TO ENTER A WRITTEN AP-PEARANCE PERSONALLY OR BY ATTOR-NEY AND FILE IN WRITING WITH THE COURT YOUR DEFENSES OR OBJECTIONS TO THE CLAIMS SET FORTH AGAINST YOU. UNLESS YOU ACT WITHIN TEN (10) DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE, A JUDG-MENT MAY BE ENTERED AGAINST YOU WITHOUT A HEARING, AND YOU MAY LOSE YOUR PROPERTY OR OTHER IM-PORTANT RIGHTS. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CAN-NOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

NOTICIA IMPORTANTE

USTED HA NO COMPLIDO CON EL AVISO ANTERIOR PORQUE HA FALTADO EN TOMAR MEDIDAS REQUERIDAS RESPECTO A ESTE CASO. SI USTED NO ACTUA DEN-TRO DE DIEZ (10) DIAS DESDE LA FECHA DE ESTA NOTICIA, ES POSIBLE QUE UN FALLO SERIA REGISTRADO CONTRA UST-ED SIN UNA AUDIENCIA Y USTED PODRIA PERDER SU PROPIEDAD O OTROS DERECH-OS IMPORTANTES. USTED DEBE LLEVAR ESTA NOTICIA A SU ABOGADO EN SE-GUIDA. SI USTED NO TIENE ABOGADO O NO TIENE CON OUE PAGAR LOS SERVICIOS DE UN ABOGADO, VAYA O LLAME A LA OFICINA ESCRITA ABAJO PARA AVERI-GUAR A DONDE USTED PUEDE OBTENER LA AYUDA LEGAL.

Dauphin County Lawyer Referral Service 213 North Front Street Harrisburg, PA 17101 (717) 232-7536

m1

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF DAUPHIN COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

NO.: 2018-CV-08015-MF

CIVIL ACTION - LAW

NOTICE OF ACTION IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

LSF9 MASTER PARTICIPATION TRUST, PLAINTIFF VS.

CHRISTOPHER T. BURKE, DEFENDANT

TO:

m1

You are hereby notified that Plaintiff, LSF9 Master Participation Trust, filed an Action in Mortgage Foreclosure endorsed with a Notice to Defend, in the Court of Common Pleas of Dauphin County, Pennsylvania, docketed to No. 2018-CV-08015-MF, seeking to foreclose the mortgage secured by the real estate located at 321 Black Bear Lane, Harrisburg, PA 17112.

A copy of the Action in Mortgage Foreclosure will be sent to you upon request to the Attorney for the Plaintiff, Manley Deas Kochalski LLC, P. O. Box 165028, Columbus, OH 43216-5028. Phone 614-220-5611.

You have been sued in court. If you wish to defend against the claims in this notice, you must take action within twenty (20) days after this publication, by entering a written appearance

Miscellaneous Notices

personally or by attorney and filing in writing with the court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you by the court without further notice for any money claimed in the complaint or for any other claim or relief requested by the plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS NOTICE TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

LAWYER REFERRAL SERVICE Dauphin County Lawyer Referral Service 213 North Front Street Harrisburg, PA 17101 (717) 232-7536

m1

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF DAUPHIN COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

NO. 2018 CV 6441 MF

CIVIL ACTION

NOTICE OF ACTION IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC., ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-AMCI, U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, AS TRUSTEE, PLAINTIFF VS. FRANCES ADAMS, DEFENDANT

NOTICE OF SALE OF REAL PROPERTY

To: Frances Adams, Defendant, whose last known address is 1316 Edgemont Road, Harrisburg, PA 17109.

Your house (real estate) at 1316 Edgemont Road, Harrisburg, PA 17109, is scheduled to be sold at the Sheriff's Sale on 4/11/19 at 10:00 a.m. at the Dauphin County Admin. Bldg., 4th Fl., Commissioners Hearing Rm., Harrisburg, PA 17101 to enforce the court judgment of \$157,210.91 obtained by Plaintiff above (the mortgagee) against you. If the sale is postponed, the property will be relisted for the Next Available Sale. PROPERTY DESCRIPTION: ALL that certain lot or piece of ground situate in Edgemont Susquehanna Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania. More fully described in Deed Instrument No. 20060040617.

PARCEL # 62-027-105-000-0000.

STERN & EISENBERG, PC Attys. for Plaintiff 1581 Main St., Ste. 200 The Shops at Valley Sq. Warrington, PA 18976 215-572-8111

m1

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CUMBERLAND COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

NO. 105 ADOPTIONS 2018

ORPHANS' COURT DIVISION

IN RE: ADOPTION OF N. D. DOB: 05/07/2016

TO: The Unknown Father; Male, that had sexual relations with Ashley Andujar, nine months prior to May 7, 2016.

NOTICE

A petition has been filed asking the Court to put an end to all rights you have to your child, N.D. The Court has set a hearing to consider ending your rights to your child. The hearing will be held in Courtroom 3, 4th Floor, Cumberland County Courthouse, Carlisle, Pennsylvania, on Friday, March 15, 2019, at 9:00 a.m. If you do not appear at the hearing, the Court may decide that you are not interested in retaining your rights to your child and your failure to appear may affect the Court's decision on whether to end your rights to vour child. You are warned that even if you fail to appear at the scheduled hearing, the hearing will go on without you and your rights to your child may be ended by the Court without your being present. You have a right to be represented at the hearing by a lawyer.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer, or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help:

> Cumberland County Bar Association 32 S. Bedford Street Carlisle, PA 17013 (717)249-3166

> > Lindsay D. Baird, Esq. Solicitor, Cumberland County Children & Youth Services

m1

Miscellaneous Notices

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF DAUPHIN COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

CIVIL DIVISION

WRIT NO, 2018-CV-2360-MU

AMOUNT \$2,642.01 PLUS PENALTIES, ADDITIONAL SEWER CHARGES, ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS

(TO BE COMPLETED BY PROTHONOTARY)

PLAINTIFF	\$ 74.50
ATTORNEY	\$ 3.00
SHERIFF	\$ 59.24
THIS WRIT	\$ 10.00

LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHW AUTHORITY 425 PRLNCE STREET, SUITE 139 HARRLSBURG, PA 17109, PLAINTIFF VS. JOHN M, ZEIGLER, IV 4910 WYOMING AVENUE HARRLSBURG, PA 17109 PARCEL# 35-057-045, DEFENDANT

PRAECIPE FOR WRIT OF EXECUTION PA.R.C.P. 3180-3183

TO: PROTHONOTARY OF SAID COURT:

Issue a writ of execution in the above captioned case.

DATE: October 25, 2018

Signature: /s/ Steven Stine Steven A. Stine. Esquire 23 Waverly Drive, Hummelstown, PA 17036 Attorney for Lower Paxton Township Authority (717) 903-1268 Supreme Court ID No. 44859

WRIT OF EXECUTION - PA.R.C.P. 3180-3183

) ss:

COUNTY OF DAUPHIN)

TO THE SHERIFF OF SAID COUNTY:

To satisfy the judgment, interest, costs and additional attorneys' fees in the above captioned case, you are directed to levy upon and sell the property described as follows: The Real Property located at 4910 Wyoming Avenue, Harrisburg, PA 17109.

Date: October 25, 2018 /s/ Matthew R. Krupp PROTHONOTARY

> /s/ LeeAnn Bechtel DEPUTY

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF DAUPHIN COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

NO.: 2018-CV-2360-MU

CIVIL DIVISION

LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHIP AUTHORITY 425 PRINCE STREET, SUITE 139 HARRISBURG, PA 17109, PLAINTIFF VS. JOHN M. ZEIGLER, IV 4910 WYOMING AVENUE HARRISBURG, PA 17109 PARCEL# 35-057-045, DEFENDANT

AMENDED NOTICE OF SHERIFF SALE OF REAL PROPERTY PURSUANT TO PENNSYLVANIA RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

TO: John M. Zeigler, IV 490 Main Street Oley, PA 19547

TAKE NOTICE:

That the Sheriff's Sale of Real Property (Real Estate) will be held in the Commissioners' Hearing Room, 4th Floor, Dauphin County Administration Building, 2 South Second Street, Harrisburg, PA 17101 on Thursday, April 11, 2019 at 10:00 a.m. prevailing local time.

THE PROPERTY TO BE SOLD is delineated in detail in a legal description consisting of a statement of the measured boundaries of the property, together with a brief mention of the buildings and any other major improvements erected on the land.

(SEE LEGAL DESCRIPTION ATTACHED AS EXHIBIT "A").

The LOCATION of your property to be sold is: 4910 Wyoming Avenue, Harrisburg, PA 17109

The JUDGMENT under or pursuant to which your property is being sold is docketed to: No. 2018-CV-2360-MU.

THE NAME OF THE OWNER OR REPUTED OWNER OF THIS PROPERTY IS: JOHN M. ZEIGLER, IV.

A SCHEDULE OF DISTRIBUTION, being a list of the persons and/or governmental or corporate entities or agencies being entitled to receive part of

Miscellaneous Notices

the proceeds of the sale received and to be disbursed by the Sheriff (for example to banks that hold mortgages and municipalities that are owed taxes), will be filed by the Sheriff thirty (30) days after the sale., and distribution of the proceeds of sale in accordance with this schedule will, in fact, be made unless someone objects by filing exceptions to it, within ten (10) days of the date it is filed. Information about the Schedule of Distribution may be obtained from the Sheriff of the Court of Common Pleas of Dauphin County, 101 Market Street, Harrisburg, PA 17101.

THIS PAPER IS A NOTICE OF THE TIME AND PLACE OF THE SALE OF YOUR PROPERTY.

It has been issued because there is a Judgment against you. It may cause you property to be held, to be sold or taken to pay the Judgment. You may have legal rights to prevent your property from being taken. A lawyer can advise you more specifically of these rights. If you wish to exercise your rights, you must act promptly.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET FREE LEGAL ADVICE.

> DAUPHIN COUNTY LAWYER REFERRAL SERVICE 213 North Front Street Harrisburg, PA 17101 (717) 232-7536

THE LEGAL RIGHTS YOU MAY HAVE ARE:

1. You may file a petition with the Court of Common Pleas of Dauphin County to open the Judgment if you have a meritorious defense against the person or company that has entered judgment against you. You may also file a petition with the same Court if you are aware of a legal defect in the obligation of the procedure used against you.

2. After the Sheriff's Sale, you may file a petition with the Court of Common Pleas of Dauphin County to set aside the sale for a grossly inadequate price or for other proper cause. The petition must be filed before the Sheriff's Deed is delivered.

3. A petition or petitions raising the legal issues or rights mentioned in the preceding paragraphs must be presented to the Court of Common Pleas of Dauphin County. The petition must be served on the attorney for the creditor or on the creditor before presentation to the Court and a proposed order or rule must be attached to the petition. If a specific return date is desired, such date must be obtained from the Court Administrator's Office, Dauphin County Courthouse, 101 Market Street, Harrisburg, PA 17101, before presentation of the petition to the Court.

By: __

Dated: January 4, 2019

Steven A. Stine, Esquire Supreme Court I.D. #44859 23 Waverly Drive Hummelstown, PA 17036 Phone: (717) 903-1268 Fax: (717) 583-2943 stevestine@att.net Attorney for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT "A" LEGAL DESCRIPTION

SALE NO.

PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY: Steven A. Stine, Esquire

JUDGMENT AMOUNT: \$2,642.01 plus penalties, additional sewer charges, attorneys' fees and costs

ALL THAT CERTAIN piece or parcel of land, with the buildings and improvements thereon erected, situate in Lower Paxton Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania, more particularly bounded and described as follows, to wit:

BEGINNING at a point on the northerly line of Wyoming Avenue, which point is 65 feet eastwardly of the northeasterly corner of Wyoming and Merrimac Avenue, and at dividing line between Lots 260 and 261 on the hereinafter mentioned Plan of Lots; thence along said dividing line in a line at right angles to Wyoming Avenue in a northerly direction, 125 feet to a point at dividing line between Lots Nos. 259 and 261on said Plan; thence along said dividing line in an easterly direction, 65 feet to a point at dividing line between Lots 261 and 262 on said Plan; thence along said dividing line in a line at right angles to Wyoming Avenue, in a southerly direction125 feet to a point on the northerly line of Wyoming Avenue aforesaid; thence along the northerly line of Wyoming Avenue in a westerly direction 65 feet to a point, the place of BEGINNING.

TAX PARCEL NO. 35-057-045

PREMISES BEING: 4910 Wyoming Avenue, Harrisburg, PA 17109

Seized and sold as the property of John M. Zeigler, IV under Judgment #2018-CV-2360-MU.

m1

Name Change Notices

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF DAUPHIN COUNTY PENNSYLVANIA

DOCKET NO: 2019-CV-0729-NC

PETITION FOR CHANGE OF NAME

NOTICE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on February 14, 2019, the Petition of Tina Tram Thai was filed in the above named court, requesting a decree to change her name from **Tina Tram Thai** to **Tram Mong Thai**.

The Court has fixed Monday, March 25, 2019 at 9:30am. in Courtroom No. 9, 2nd Floor, Dauphin County Courthouse, 101 Market Street, Harrisburg, PA as the time and place for the hearing on said Petition, when and where all persons interested may appear and show cause if any they have, why the prayer of the said Petition should not be granted. ml

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF DAUPHIN COUNTY PENNSYLVANIA

DOCKET NO: 2019-CV-00301-NC

PETITION FOR CHANGE OF NAME

NOTICE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on the 6th day of February 2019, the Petition of Crystal Elizabeth Condran was filed in the above named court, requesting a decree to change her name from **Crystal Elizabeth Condran** to **Chris Wolfgang Condran**.

The Court has fixed Monday, March 25th, 2019 at 9:30am in Courtroom No 9, 2nd Floor, Dauphin County Courthouse, 101 Market Street, Harrisburg, PA as the time and place for the hearing on said Petition, when and where all persons interested may appear and show cause if any they have, why the prayer of the said Petition should not be granted. m1

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF DAUPHIN COUNTY PENNSYLVANIA

DOCKET NO:

PETITION FOR CHANGE OF NAME

NOTICE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on February 14, 2019, the Petition of John & Amy Riley on behalf of minor child, J.W.R. was filed in the above named court, requesting a decree to change the minor child's name from J. W. R. to J. W. R., II.

The Court has fixed Monday, March 25, 2019 at 9:30am. in Courtroom No. 9, 2nd Floor, Dauphin County Courthouse, 101 Market Street, Harrisburg, PA as the time and place for the hearing on said Petition, when and where all persons interested may appear and show cause if any they have, why the prayer of the said Petition should not be granted. m1

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF DAUPHIN COUNTY PENNSYLVANIA

DOCKET NO: 2018-CV-08393-NC

PETITION FOR CHANGE OF NAME

NOTICE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on February 22, 2019, the Petition of Kelyne Brioche was filed in the above named court, requesting a decree to change her name from **Kelyne Brioche** to **Ameena Brioche**.

The Court has fixed Monday, March 25, 2019 at 9:30am. in Courtroom No. 9, 2nd Floor, Dauphin County Courthouse, 101 Market Street, Harrisburg, PA as the time and place for the hearing on said Petition, when and where all persons interested may appear and show cause if any they have, why the prayer of the said Petition should not be granted. ml

Name Change Notices

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF DAUPHIN COUNTY PENNSYLVANIA

DOCKET NO: 2019-CV-00766-NC

PETITION FOR CHANGE OF NAME

NOTICE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on February 22, 2019, the Petition of Benjamin Moyer was filed in the above named court, requesting a decree to change his name from **Benjamin Moyer** to **Benjamin Reese**.

The Court has fixed Monday, March 25, 2019 at 9:30am. in Courtroom No. 9, 2nd Floor, Dauphin County Courthouse, 101 Market Street, Harrisburg, PA as the time and place for the hearing on said Petition, when and where all persons interested may appear and show cause if any they have, why the prayer of the said Petition should not be granted. m1

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF DAUPHIN COUNTY PENNSYLVANIA

DOCKET NO: 2019-CV-00766-NC

PETITION FOR CHANGE OF NAME

NOTICE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on February 22, 2019, the Petition of Matthew Moyer was filed in the above named court, requesting a decree to change his name from Matthew Moyer to Matthew Reese.

The Court has fixed Monday, March 25, 2019 at 9:30am. in Courtroom No. 9, 2nd Floor, Dauphin County Courthouse, 101 Market Street, Harrisburg, PA as the time and place for the hearing on said Petition, when and where all persons interested may appear and show cause if any they have, why the prayer of the said Petition should not be granted. m1



A.H. and B.P.M., S.W. v.	
Adoption of Baby Boy Lundon (Father's Appeal)	
Adoption of Baby Boy Lundon (Mother's Appeal)	
Adoption of M.D.L.	
Adoption of M.E.L.	
Adoption of M.L.M.	60
Amos v. Amos	62
Amos, Amos v	62
A.T, S.T.E. v	194
Auringer, In re	
B.L., J.B. v. G.L., T.W.,	
B.P.M., S.W. v. A.H. and	
Baby Boy Lundon, Adoption of (Father's Appeal)	70
Baby Boy Lundon, Adoption of (Mother's Appeal)	
C.O., N.G. v.	1
C.S., V.H., and R.D., T.S. v.	
City of Harrisburg, McFarland LP v.	
Commonwealth v. Forde	
Commonwealth, Kline v	
Commonwealth v. Lee	
Commonwealth v. McLeod	
Commonwealth v. New Vision Management, LLC	
Commonwealth, Haymaker v.	
D.D.G., S.R.G. v.	305
Dept. of Transp., Bureau of Driver Licensing, Diaz v.	
Dept. of Transp., Bureau of Driver Licensing, Hoffman v	
Diaz v. Dept. of Transp., Bureau of Driver Licensing	
Dickerson, et al. v. Lower Swatara Twp. Zoning Hearing Board v. Lower Swatara Twp	
Edwards v. PennDOT	232
Eisenhour v. Eisenhour.	
Eisenhour, Eisenhour v.	
F.B., M.R. v	
Forde., Commonwealth v.	11
Garland v. PennDOT	161
GAT Distribution Corp., et al., Mumma, et al. v.	112
G.L., T.W., B.L., J.B. v.	
H.E. Rohrer, Inc. et.al, Kuhns v.	
Harrisburg Housing Authority v. Lane	
Haymaker v. Commonwealth	
Hoffman v. Dept. of Transp., Bureau of Driver Licensing.	
Hoffman Ford Sales, Inc., Shoemaker v. Lower Paxton Township Board of Supervisors and	
In re Auringer.	
J.B. v. G.L., T.W., B.L.	
J.D., M.S. v.	
J.S. v. R.S.S.	
K.P., T.J. v. W.H., Jr. and	106
1.1., 1.8. 1. 11.1., 31. UIU	

DAUPHIN COUNTY REPORTS

INDEX

Kapp v. Kapp v. Updegraff	
Kapp, Kapp v. Updegraff	
Kline v. Commonwealth	131
Kuhns v H.E. Rohrer, Inc. et.al.	55
Lane, Harrisburg Housing Authority v	
Lee. Commonwealth v	
Lower Paxton Township Board of Supervisors and Hoffman Ford Sales, Inc., Shoemaker v.	
Lower Swatara Twp., Dickerson, et al. v. Lower Swatara Twp. Zoning Hearing Board v	
Lower Swatara Twp., Zoning Hearing Board v. Lower Swatara Twp., Dickerson, et al. v	
M.D.L., Adoption of	
M.E.L., Adoption of	
M.L.M., Adoption of	
M.R. v. F.B.	
M.S. v. J.D.	
McFarland LP v. City of Harrisburg	
McLeod, Commonwealth v.	
Mumma, et al. v. GAT Distribution Corp., et al.	
N.G. v. C.O.	
New Vision Management, LLC v. Commonwealth	
PennDOT, Edwards v.	
PennDOT, Garland v	
R.D., T.S. v. C.S., V.H., and	
R.S. v. S.Z and M.Z.	
R.S.S., J.S. v.	
S.T.E. v. A.T	
S.R.G. v. D.D.G.	
S.W. v. A.H. and B.P.M.	
S.Z and M.Z., R.S. v.	
Shoemaker v. Lower Paxton Township Board of Supervisors and Hoffman Ford Sales, Inc	
T.J. v. W.H., Jr. and K.P.	
T.L. f/k/a T.D., W.T.D. v	
T.S. v. C.S., V.H., and R.D.	
T.W., B.L., J.B. v. G.L.,	
Updegraff, Kapp v. Kapp v	64
V.H., and R.D., T.S. v. C.S.,	81
W.H., Jr. and K.P., T.J. v.	
W.T.D. v. T.L. f/k/a T.D	

BAR ASSOCIATION PAGE Dauphin County Bar Association 213 North Front Street, Harrisburg, PA 17101-1493 Phone: (717) 232-7536 Fax: (717) 234-4582

Board of Directors		
Officers	Directors	
Brooks R. Foland, President	William L. Adler	
	Robert E. Chernicoff	
Lisa M. Benzie, President-Elect	Robert H. Davis, Jr.	
	Jill E. Family	
Paula J. McDermott, Vice-President	Joshua A. Gray	
	Cory A. Iannacone	
Scott B. Cooper, Secretary	Teresa C. Marino	
	Arlene A. Marshall-Hockensmith	
Thomas P. Gacki, Treasurer	Tracey S. Lewis	
	Christopher J. Marzzacco	
Peter M. Good, Past President	Alexis M. Miloszewski	
	Renee C. Mattei Myers	
Jessica E. Smith, Young Lawyers' Chair	Kenneth A. Rapp	
	Kimberly A. Selemba	
Fawn E. Kehler, Young Lawyers' Vice Chair	Leonard Tintner	

The Board of Directors of the Bar Association meets on the third Thursday of the month at the Bar Association headquarters. Anyone wishing to attend or have matters brought before the Board should contact the Bar Association office in advance.

REPORTING OF ERRORS IN ADVANCE SHEET

The Bench and Bar will contribute to the accuracy in matters of detail of the permanent edition of the Dauphin County Reporter by sending to the editor promptly, notice of all errors appearing in this advance sheet. Inasmuch as corrections are made on a continuous basis, there can be no assurance that corrections can be made later than thirty (30) days from the date of this issue but this should not discourage the submission of notice of errors after thirty (30) days since they will be handled in some way if at all possible. Please send such notice of errors to: Dauphin County Reporter, Dauphin County Bar Association, 213 North Front Street, Harrisburg, PA 17101-1493.

DAUPHIN COUNTY COURT SECTION Opinions Not Yet Reported

BAR ASSOCIATION PAGE Dauphin County Bar Association 213 North Front Street, Harrisburg, PA 17101-1493 Phone: (717) 232-7536 Fax: (717) 234-4582

PART-TIME LEGAL SECRETARY/ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Strokoff & Cowden, an established law firm in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, is seeking a Part-Time Legal Secretary/Administrative Assistant. The successful candidate will perform a variety of administrative and clerical functions including, taking phone calls and messages, responding to emails, appointment scheduling, filing and typing legal documents and briefs. Qualifications: A high school diploma or equivalent; 2-4 years previous experience in legal support (required); Proficiencies in Microsoft Outlook and Word (required); Experience with Adobe Acrobat (desired). Please submit resume and cover letter to: Jennifer A. Nachamkin, Esq., 132 State Street, Harrisburg, PA 17101.





ATTORNEY DISCIPLINARY / ETHICS MATTERS

Representation, consultation and expert testimony in disciplinary matters and matters involving ethical issues, bar admissions and the Rules of Professional Conduct

James C. Schwartzman, Esq.

Judge, Court of Judicial Discipline • Former Chairman, Judicial Conduct Board of Pennsylvania • Former Chairman, Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of PA • Former Chairman, Continuing Legal Education Board of the Supreme Court of PA • Former Chairman, Supreme Court of PA Interest on Lawyers Trust Account Board • Former Federal Prosecutor • Named by his peers as Best Lawyers in America 2015 Philadelphia Ethics and Professional Responsibility Law "Lawyer of the Year"

17 North Second Street, 16th Fl., Harrisburg, PA 17101 · (717) 255-7388

